

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,071	03/22/2004	Alain Morissette	WKV-02	4069
38979 7	09/19/2005		EXAMINER	
CRAVEN & REID, LLC			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
12 E. STONE A GREENVILLE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3765	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/10/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Tath
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,071	MORISSETTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shaun R. Hurley	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	larch 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-131 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-131</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· Г.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	l 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National St	tage
application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive		
And the second of			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/807,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-30, 31, 32, 65-96, 97, and 98, drawn to methods of making ropes, classified in class 87, subclass 5.
- II. Claims 33-62, 63, 64, 99-129, 130, and 131, drawn to carrier ropes, classified in class 87, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the carrier ropes of Group II could be made by different methods, such as over twisting and reversing the twist, then braiding.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions:

## In Group I:

i. Claims 1-30, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with generic twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament prior to braiding.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,071

Art Unit: 3765

ii. Claim 31, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with specific twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament prior to braiding.

Page 3

- iii. Claim 32, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with specific twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament prior to braiding.
- iv. Claims 65-96, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with generic twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament after braiding.
- v. Claim 97, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with specific twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament after braiding.
- vi. Claim 98, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rope with specific twisting and materials, and twisting a monofilament after braiding.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

## In Group II:

- i. Claims 33-62, drawn to a rope with generic twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted prior to braiding.
- ii. Claim 63, drawn to a rope with specific twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted prior to braiding.
- iii. Claim 64, drawn to a rope with specific twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted prior to braiding.
- iv. Claims 99-129, drawn to a rope with generic twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted after braiding.

Art Unit: 3765

- v. Claim 130, drawn to a rope with specific twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted after braiding.
- vi. Claim 131, drawn to a rope with specific twisting and materials, and a monofilament twisted after braiding.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 6:30 am 3:00 pm, off second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SRH 07 September 2005

> Shaun R Hurley Patent Examiner Tech Center 3700